Phosphine Catalyst-Controlled Cycloaddition or Dienylation Reactions of Trifluoromethyl Aryl Ketones with Bis-Substituted Allenoates

Hua Xiao, $*$, $*$, $*$ Zhuo Chai, $*$ Ri-Sheng Yao, $*$ and Gang Zhao $*$, $*$

† Key Labora[tor](#page-8-0)y of Synthetic Chemistry of Natural Substances, Shanghai I[nst](#page-8-0)itute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China

‡ School of Medical Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-8-0)STRACT: [A chemosele](#page-8-0)ctive phosphine-catalyzed cycloaddition or dienylation reaction between trifluoromethylsubstituted ketones and bis-substituted allenoates was described. Under the catalysis of triarylphosphine, the reaction gave a range of trifluoromethylated tetrahydrofurans with broad substrate tolerance and good to excellent stereoselectivity, while the use of trialkylphosphine switched the

reaction pathway to furnish CF₃-substituted dienyl tertiary alcohols chemoselectively. Moreover, a preliminary study on the asymmetric version of the reaction was also performed, which represents the first example of a phosphine-catalyzed asymmetric reaction between allenoates and carbonyl compounds.

■ INTRODUCTION

The trifluoromethyl-substituted quaternary carbon center is an important structural motif occurring in a range of pharmaceuticals and drug candidate molecules such as anti-HIV agent efavirenz,^{1a} antimalarial agent dihydroartemisinin (DHA) ,^{1b} and nonsteroidal selective glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist ZK2163[48.](#page-8-0)^{1c} Particularly, the CF₃-substituted tetrahydrofur[an](#page-8-0) and tertiary alcohol are substructures present in some bioactive molecules [wi](#page-8-0)th great potential value in medicinal chemistry.² Owing to the higher electrophilicity of α -trifluoromethyl ketones as compared with their nonfluorinated counterpart[s,](#page-8-0) they are more prone to attack by carbon nucleophiles, which constitutes a simple and direct way to the CF_3 -substituted tertiary alcohols and their analogues.³ On the other hand, the phosphine-catalyzed cycloaddition reactions of allenoates or their surrogates with various electro[p](#page-8-0)hiles have emerged as a versatile platform for the synthesis of valuable five- and sixmembered cyclic structures.^{4,5} Unlike the widely studied electron-deficient olefins,^{6a} imines,^{6b} and aldehydes,^{7,8} the use of CF_3 -activated ketones as [e](#page-8-0)lectrophiles in the allenoate reaction was not disclo[sed](#page-8-0) until [re](#page-8-0)cently by Ye [et a](#page-8-0)l.⁹ The products of the reaction with α -trifluoromethyl ketones are highly dependent on the structures of the allenoates: the [u](#page-8-0)se of simple allenoates gave CF_3 -substituted 1,2-dihydrofurans (under phosphine catalysis) or oxetanes (under tertiary amine catalysis), while the use of α -branched allenoates provided CF₃substituted dihydropyrans and the use of Morita−Baylis− Hillman adducts furnished dienes (Scheme 1). Up to now, the nucleophile-catalyzed γ- or bis-substituted allenoate reaction with trifluoromethyl ketone had remained [un](#page-1-0)explored.

In 2009, He and co-workers¹⁰ reported the $[3 + 2]$ annulation between γ-substituted allenoates and aromatic aldehyde, $11,12$ which served as a fa[cile](#page-8-0) entry to tetrahydrofurans with modest stereoselectivities. The chemoselective formation of tetrah[ydrof](#page-8-0)urans was achieved in refluxing xylene. Herein we disclosed a novel catalyst-dependent¹³ chemoselective cycloaddition or dienylation reaction between bis-substituted allenoates and trifluoromethyl keto[nes](#page-8-0). In this system, two skeletally different products, CF_3 -substituted tetrahydrofurans and dienyl tertiary alcohols, could be obtained chemoselectively by judicious choice of the phosphine catalyst (Scheme 1). Moreover, the presented cycloaddition reaction using α trifluoromethyl ketones also enables satisfying improvem[en](#page-1-0)t in substrate generality and chemo- and stereoselectivity as compared with analogous examples.^{10a,c}

■ RESULTS A[N](#page-8-0)D DISCUSSION

Initially, γ -methyl allenoate 2a was reacted with CF₃-substituted acetophenone 1a in the presence of $(p\text{-CH}_3\text{OC}_6\text{H}_4)$ ₃P, giving a mixture of $[3 + 2]$ cycloadduct 3aa and tertiary alcohol 4aa in poor product ratio and E,E/Z,E ratio (Scheme 2, eq 1). To our surprise, the use of α , γ -bis-substituted allenoate 2b led to excellent chemo- and stereoselectivity: t[he](#page-1-0) use of (p- $CH_3OC_6H_4$ ₃P gave $[3 + 2]$ cycloadduct 3a as the sole product with 93% yield $(E/Z > 15/1)$ under the optimized reaction conditions (for details, see the Supporting Information), and the use of tributylphosphine provided CF_3 substituted dienyl tertiary alcohol 4a w[ith excellent chemo-](#page-8-0)

Received: July 12, 2013 Published: September 6, 2013 Scheme 1. Nucleophilic Phosphine-Catalyzed Reactions of α-Trifluoromethyl Ketones and Allenoates or Their Surrogates

Scheme 2. Chemoselective Reaction between Bis-Substituted Allenoate and Trifluoromethyl Ketone

Table 1. Catalytic [3 + 2] Cycloadditions of Trifluoromethyl Aryl Ketones 1 with Allenoates 2 Catalyzed by Tris(4 methoxyphenyl)phosphine^a

a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out with 1 (0.1 mmol) and 2 (0.15 mmol) in the presence of phosphine (0.02 mmol) in $\rm CH_2Cl_2$ (1.0 mL) for 3 h at rt. b Yields of isolated E isomer. CDetermined by ¹H NMR analysis of crude products. ^dThe diastereoselectivity ratio of 3ac and (1.0 mL) for 3 h at rt. b Yields of isolated E isomer. CDetermined 3ac′ was determined as 56/44 by isolated yield. ^e The double bond migration product 3l′ was obtained in 28% yield and with 1.2/1 diastereoselectivity concurrently.

selectivity and moderate E,E selectivity, which may be viewed as a double vinylic analogue of Mosher's ester (Scheme 2, eq 2). The presence of Bu_3P also gave the isomerization product of allenoate 2b itself concomitantly (for details, see the Supporting Information). Notably, in all cases examined above, 1,3-dioxane derivatives (common byproduct in aldehyde reactions; see Kwon and He's reports^{7d,10a}) was not detected, which may be ascribed to the steric hindrance of the CF_3 group.

The reaction scope under th[e ca](#page-8-0)talysis of tris(4 methoxyphenyl)phosphine was first examined (Table 1). The $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition reaction between bis-substituted allenoate 2b and various aryl trifluoromethyl ketones pr[oc](#page-1-0)eeded smoothly to provide a range of synthetically valuable tetrahydrofurans containing a CF_3 -substituted quaternary stereogenic center in 64−93% yields and with good to excellent E selectivities as the only products. An obvious electronic effect on the reactivity was observed: aromatic ketones containing electron-withdrawing substituents in general gave higher yields and stereoselectivities than those with electron-donating substituents. The heteroaryl ketone 1j and the sterically demanding substrate 1k were also well-tolerated in the cycloaddition (entries 10 and 11). However, aliphatic substrates were totally unsuitable (the aliphatic ketones generally remained unreacted under the typical reaction conditions). The reaction proceeded uneventfully when γ-ethyl allenoate 2c was employed as the substrate, albeit with a poor diastereoselectivity (entry 12). To our surprise, the relatively electronrich ketone 1l was reactive enough to achieve full conversion, furnishing the normal cycloadduct in 72% yield with a moderate E/Z ratio. Meanwile, an unprecedented double bond migration product, 3l′, was also isolated in 28% yield with statistically random diastereoselectivity (entry 13). Further study revealed such migration of an exocyclic double bond is thermodynamically favored: when the reaction time was prolonged, all tested aryl ketones yielded a trace amount of migrated products.

Subsequently, the scope of Bu_3P -catalyzed dienylation reaction of trifluoromethyl ketone was tested, and the results are summarized in Table 2. The reaction provided facile access to CF_3 -substituted dienyl tertiary alcohols with generally high yields, which could also be a unique complement to the current strategies for accessing the diene motif of high synthetic

Table 2. Catalytic Additions of Trifluoromethyl Aryl Ketones 1 with Allenoate 2b Catalyzed by Tributylphosphine^{a}

			$n_{\text{Bu}_3\text{P}}$	OН F_3C	CO ₂ Et
F_3C 1	`Ar CO ₂ Et 2 _b	CO ₂ Et	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , RT or toluene, 60 °C	Ar	O ₂ Et 4
entry	1, Ar	$\overline{4}$	yield of 4 ^b (%)	$E, E/Z, E^c$	yield of 3^d $(\%)$
1	1a, Ph	4a	90	7/1	5
2^e	1b, $4-BrC_6H_4$	4b	86	2/1	10
3^e	1c, 4 -FC ₆ H ₄	4c	88	2.3/1	8
4^e	1d, 4 -ClC ₆ H ₄	4d	80	2/1	12
5	1e, 4 -MeOC ₆ H ₄	4e	88	6/1	5
6	1f, $3-MeOC6H4$	4f	93	6/1	5
7	1g, $4-EtOC6H4$	4g	83	6.5/1	4
8	1h, 4 -EtC ₆ H ₄	4h	88	6.5/1	5
9	1i, 4 -Me C_6H_4	4i	84	7/1	4
10 ^e	1j, 2-thienyl	4j	80	3/1	12
11 ^e	1k, 1-naphthyl	4k	70	3/1	12
12	1l, 4 -MeSC ₆ H ₄	41	82	6/1	4

 a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out with 1 (0.1) mmol) and 2 (0.12 mmol) in the presence of phosphine (0.015 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) for 3 h at rt. ^bIsolated yields of *E_rE* and Z ,E isomers. C here are not consider the analysis of crude products.
 Z ,E isomers. C hetermined by ¹H NMR analysis of crude products. Isolated yields of E isomers. ${}^e\text{The reaction was run at }60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h.

interest.¹⁴ Different from the observation in the $(p CH₃OC₆H₄)₃P$ catalysis, the Bu₃P-catalyzed reaction of substrat[es](#page-8-0) 1b, 1c, and 1d bearing electron-withdrawing substituents required a higher reaction temperature in toluene to give high yields of the diene products, albeit with low E,E/ Z,E selectivities (entries 2−4). Similar results were also observed with heteroaryl ketone 1j and 1-naphthyl ketone 1k (entries 10 and 11). In comparison, the reaction of substrates with electron-neutral or electron-donating substitutents could be run at rt to provide higher yields and E,E/Z,E selectivities. The configuration of diene 4a was assigned by comparison with literature analogues¹⁵ via ¹H NMR analysis, and the configurations of the others were assigned accordingly. Notably, in the cases exami[ned](#page-8-0), small amounts of tetrahydrofuran products 3 were also formed concomitantly.

Recently, significant advances have been made in the applications of chiral phosphines for asymmetric allenoate reaction. However, the development of P-catalyzed enantioselective reactions involving carbonyl electrophiles or γsubstituted allenoate (in the case in which the γ -substitutents participate in the reaction) has not been reported.¹⁶ The stereocontrolled δ addition of a phosphine−allenoate intermediate was still a challenging goal due to the remote [dis](#page-8-0)tance between the chiral center of the catalyst and the δ carbon of the allenoate. Herein we also performed some preliminary research on the enantioselective cycloaddition with activated ketones catalyzed by our previously developed chiral bifunctional phosphines (Scheme 3).¹⁷ With the trifluoromethyl ketone 1a in the presence of catalyst 5a, the reaction with allenoates 2a and 2b proceeded [un](#page-3-0)[eve](#page-9-0)ntfully to provide the diene and tetrahydrofuran products in moderate yields (for details on chiral catalyst screening, see the Supporting Information), albeit with poor enantioselectivities (Scheme 3, eq 1). To our delight, the reaction with another typ[e of activated carbonyl,](#page-8-0) α -keto ester 1a′, worked well to give the corr[esp](#page-3-0)onding tetrahydrofuran 3ad with superior ee with catalyst 5b. To our knowledge, this is the first example of enantioselective P-catalyzed reaction between allenoate or its surrogate and carbonyl compounds. Moreover, the investigation of asymmetric catalysis revealed that the reaction pathway was also tunable by changing the α substituent patterns of γ -methyl allenoates (Scheme 3, eq 1). Notably, chemoselectivities in these asymmetric reactions were generally excellent with no byproducts detected.

On the basis of previ[ou](#page-3-0)s reports^{18,10a} and our own observation, a tentative mechanistic rationale for the chemoselective reaction is illustrated in S[ch](#page-9-0)[eme](#page-8-0) 4. Upon the nucleophilic addition of phosphine, the allenoate is first converted to zwitterionic intermediate A, wh[ic](#page-3-0)h undergoes 1,4-H migration immediately to give intermediate B. The vinylic phosphonium B, stabilized by its phosphorus ylide resonance form, attacks the carbonyl group of the trifluoromethyl ketone to furnish intermediate C1 or C2. Depending on the phosphine catalysts used, two pathways are possible: (1) due to the P−O interaction,^{18d} triarylphosphine-derived zwitterions might form a six-membered ring, C2, which is suitable for ring closure after a [doub](#page-9-0)le bond migration to give intermediate D, and the latter would undergo a 5-exo-trig process to produce the tetrahydrofuran product 3 with release of the phosphine; (2) for trialkylphosphine-derived zwitterions, the more electron-rich substituent $(n$ -butyl group) on the phosphorus center could stabilize its positive charge more efficiently, and less P-O interaction might be expected.^{18a} Thus, in this case, together with steric factors, the open-chained

Scheme 3. Exploration of the Asymmetric Annulation of Activated Ketone and γ-Substituted Allenoate

Scheme 4. Plausible Reaction Mechanism

C1 would be favored, which was transformed to intermediate G through some internal proton transfer processes (via E and F) to provide the diene compound. A full understanding of the intrinsic factors determining such chemoselectivity of this reaction still requires further study.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a catalyst-controlled chemoselective cycloaddition or dienylation reaction between trifluoromethyl ketone and bis-substituted allenoate with commercially available simple phosphine catalysts. These findings not only enrich the chemistry of reactions of allenoates under nucleophilic catalysis, but also enable easy access to two sets of skeletally diverse products bearing $CF₃$ quarternary stereogenic centers, namely, trifluoromethylated tetrahydrofurans and dienyl tertiary alcohols, in good yields and E selectivity. Furthermore, a preliminary study on the first asymmetric Pcatalyzed annulation reaction of allenoate with carbonyls was also performed with promising results for further research. Efforts toward a highly enantioselective version of the reaction are currently under way in our laboratories.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Unless otherwise indicated, chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers or purified by standard techniques. All reactions were carried out employing ovendried glassware. Various γ-substituted allenoates were prepared according to a modificated version of the literature procedure $8e,19a$ and stored at 4 °C prior to use. All trifluoromethyl aryl ketones were prepared following reported procedures^{19b,c} or purchased [fr](#page-8-0)[om](#page-9-0) commercial suppliers. All acyl-protected aminophosphines were synthesized according to procedures repor[ted p](#page-9-0)reviously.¹

Representative Procedure for the Triarylphosphine-Catalyzed Cycloadditions. To a stirred solution of trifl[uo](#page-9-0)romethyl ketone 1 (0.1 mmol) and phosphine (0.02 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) was added γ-substituted allenoate (0.15 mmol) via a microsyringe in one portion. Then the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at rt and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was directly purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10/1) as the eluent) to furnish the corresponding product. The E/Z geometries of the main products were determined by comparison of ¹H NMR data with those of cycloadduct 3aa, which were compared with those of known analogues.^{10a}

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-phenyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)dihydrofuran2(3H)-yli[dene](#page-8-0))succinate (3a): 36 mg, 93% yield; $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.47–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 3H), 4.17 (q, J $= 7.0$ Hz, 4H), 3.55 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.49 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.42−3.33 (m, 1H), 3.21−3.13 (m, 1H), 2.90−2.83 (m, 1H), 2.55−2.47 (m, 1H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.8, 167.4, 135.4, 129.3, 128.5, 126.3, 124.4 (q, J_{C-F} = 248 Hz), 99.1, 88.8 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 32.1, 32.0, 30.1, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.6; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2983, 1738, 1656, 1454, 1370, 1309, 1186, 1101, 1042, 891, 768, 723; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}F_3O_5Na$ $[M + Na]^+$ 409.1239, found 409.1238. Data for the chiral product (best ee obtained with a trifluoromethyl ketone, catalyzed by phenylalanine-derived phosphine 5i; for details, see Table 4S in the Supporting Information): enantiometric excess 33%; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, hexane/i-PrOH, 90/10, flow rate 0.60 mL/ min) $t_{\text{major}} = 14.6 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 10.6 \text{ min}, \lambda = 254 \text{ nm}.$

[Data for \(E\)-diethy](#page-8-0)l 2-(5-(4-bromophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3b): 38 mg, 83% yield; $R_f =$ 0.38 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.54 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42−3.33 (m, 1H), 3.21−3.13 (m, 1H), 2.89− 2.82 (m, 1H), 2.50−2.42 (m, 1H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.5, 169.4, 167.3, 134.5, 131.8, 128.1, 124.1 (q, J_{C−F} = 285 Hz), 99.5, 88.5 (q, J_{C−F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.7, 60.3, 32.1, 32.0, 30.0, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.6; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2982, 2935, 1739, 1713, 1658, 1490, 1369, 1312, 1173, 1113, 1048, 1009, 898, 821, 781, 736; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₀BrF₃O₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 487.0338, found 487.0344.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3c): 36 mg, 90% yield; $R_f =$ 0.4 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.48 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (dd, $J = 8.8, 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.20 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.19 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.55 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.45−3.36 (m, 1H), 3.25−3.16 (m, 1H), 2.92−2.85 (m, 1H), 2.54−2.47 (m, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.5, 169.5, 167.3, 163.23 (d, J_{C−F} = 249 Hz), 131.3 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.6 Hz), 128.3 (d, J_{C-F} = 8.1 Hz), 124.3 (q, J_{C-F} = 284 Hz), 115.5 (d, J_{C-F} = 22 Hz), 99.3, 88.4 (q, J_{C-F} = 30 Hz), 60.6, 60.3, 32.2, 32.0, 30.1, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) $\delta = -76.5$, −109.3; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2983, 2937, 1738, 1702, 1657, 1513, 1370, 1312, 1238, 1176, 1128, 1048, 837, 781; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}F_{4}O_{5}Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 427.1145, found 427.1139.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3d): 36 mg, 85% yield; $R_f =$ 0.38 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.43–7.37 (m, 4H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42– 3.34 (m, 1H), 3.22−3.13 (m, 1H), 2.89−2.82 (m, 1H), 2.50−2.43 (m, 1H), 1.27 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz)$ δ = 171.5, 169.4, 167.3, 135.6, 134.0, 128.8, 127.8, 124.2 (q, J_{C-F} = 285 Hz), 99.4, 88.3 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.7, 60.3, 32.1, 32.0, 30.0, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.6; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2982, 2936, 1720, 1660, 1494, 1463, 1369, 1311, 1255, 1175, 1086, 1048, 1012, 826, 738; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}ClF_3O_5Na [M + Na]^+$ 443.0849, found 443.0844.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3e): 28 mg, 69% yield; $R_f =$ 0.35 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.54 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.39−3.30 (m, 1H), 3.20−3.09 (m, 1H), 2.86−2.76 (m, 1H), 2.53−2.43 (m, 1H), 1.27 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.9, 167.5, 160.3, 127.7, 127.3, 124.4 (q, J_{C-F} = 284 Hz), 113.9, 99.0, 88.7 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 55.3, 32.0, 30.2, 29.7, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ $=$ -79.8; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2981, 2937, 2843, 1736, 1655, 1612, 1516, 1463, 1369, 1302, 1254, 1172, 1087, 1047, 897, 832; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_6Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 439.1344, found 439.1339.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3f): 29 mg, 71% yield; $R_f =$

0.35 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.34 (dd, J = 8.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, J $= 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.57 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.45–3.36 (m, 1H), 3.22−3.14 (m, 1H), 2.90−2.83 (m, 1H), 2.57−2.50 (m, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.8, 167.4, 159.7, 136.9, 127.3, 124.4 (q, J_{C−F} = 284 Hz), 118.5, 114.4, 112.6, 99.1, 88.7 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 55.3, 32.2, 32.0, 30.1, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -79.4; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2981, 2936, 2851, 1738, 1712, 1657, 1604, 1586, 1493, 1465, 1369, 1295, 1178, 1101, 1047, 883, 784, 728; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_6Na [M + Na]^+$ 439.1344, found 439.1339.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3g): 30 mg, 70% yield; $R_f =$ 0.36 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.39 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 4.07 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.56 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.44–3.35 (m, 1H), 3.23−3.14 (m, 1H), 2.89−2.81 (m, 1H), 2.55−2.48 (m, 1H), 1.44 (t, J $= 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.9, 167.5, 127.6, 127.1, 124.5 (q, J_{C−F} = 285 Hz), 114.4, 99.0, 88.7 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 63.6, 60.6, 60.2, 32.0, 30.2, 29.7, 14.7, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −76.6; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2982, 2935, 1740, 1713, 1653, 1514, 1300, 1252, 1172, 1118, 1047, 898, 834, 808; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}F_3O_6Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 453.1501, found 453.1496.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-ethylphenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3h): 26 mg, 69% yield; $R_f =$ 0.38 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.37 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, $J_{AB} = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.31 (m, 1H), 3.23−3.09 (m, 1H), 2.88−2.78 (m, 1H), 2.65 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.54−2.45 (m, 1H), 1.26−1.24 (m, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.9, 167.5, 145.5, 132.6, 128.0, 126.3, 124.5 (q, J_{C-F} = 284 Hz), 99.0, 88.8 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 32.1, 30.1, 29.7, 28.5, 15.3, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -79.6; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2969, 2930, 2855, 1741, 1713, 1656, 1515, 1462, 1415, 1369, 1316, 1175, 1090, 1047, 830, 785; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}F_3O_5Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 437.1552, found 437.1546.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(p-tolyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3i): 28 mg, 70% yield; $R_f =$ 0.36 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.38 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.57 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.44−3.36 (m, 1H), 3.23−3.14 (m, 1H), 2.90− 2.83 (m, 1H), 2.56−2.49 (m, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.9, 167.5, 139.3, 132.4, 129.2, 126.2, 124.4 (q, $J_{C-F} = 285$ Hz), 99.0, 88.8 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 32.0, 30.1, 29.7, 21.1, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.7; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2982, 2933, 1740, 1713, 1658, 1515, 1461, 1369, 1315, 1174, 1121, 1088, 1048, 899, 815; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_5Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 423.1395, found 423.1390.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(thiophene-2-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3j): 35 mg, 89% yield; $R_f =$ 0.33 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.39 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J $= 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.51 (d, $J_{AB} = 17.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.46–3.42 (m, 1H), 3.25−3.17 (m, 1H), 2.86−2.79 (m, 1H), 2.63−2.56 (m, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.4, 169.3, 167.3, 137.9, 127.2, 127.0, 126.8, 123.8 (q, J_{C−F} = 284 Hz), 99.7, 87.5 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.4 Hz), 60.6, 60.3, 33.2, 31.9, 30.1, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -76.8; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3107, 2982, 2936, 2358, 1740, 1713, 1462, 1369, 1299, 1175, 1089, 1046, 883, 843, 781, 710; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}F_3O_5SNa$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 415.0803, found 415.0798.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(naphthalen-1-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3k): 33 mg, 77% yield; $R_f =$

0.33 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.31 (br, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J = 8.1, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (br, 1H), 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 4.25−4.17 (m, 4H), 3.64 (d, JAB = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (d, JAB = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.44−3.40 $(m, 2H)$, 3.34–3.29 $(m, 1H)$, 2.94–2.86 $(m, 1H)$, 1.30 $(m, 6H)$; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.5, 167.5, 134.5, 131.5, 130.9, 129.3, 127.1, 126.5, 125.7, 124.7, 123.7, 98.9, 60.7, 60.2, 32.3, 29.7, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −75.1; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2982, 2934, 1738, 1712, 1653, 1463, 1369, 1311, 1178, 1129, 1098, 1078, 1049, 962, 803, 777; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}F_{3}O_{5}Na$ [M + Na]+ 459.1390, found 459.1395.

Data for (E)-ethyl 2-(5-phenyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)acetate (3aa): 6 mg, 21% yield; $R_f = 0.4$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.44 (m, 3H), 5.63 (m, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.37 (m, 1H), 3.15 (m, 1H), 2.88 (m, 1H), 2.53 (m, 1H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₂, 100 MHz) δ = 173.7, 135.5, 129.3, 128.5, 126.3, 92.4, 59.6, 31.7, 29.4, 14.2; 19F NMR $(CDCI_3, 282 MHz) \delta = -72.7$; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3027, 2939, 1718, 1653, 1621, 1481, 1387, 1345, 1261, 1129, 1035, 1001, 956, 821; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{15}F_3O_3Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 323.0871, found 323.0873.

Data for (Z)-ethyl 2-(5-phenyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)acetate (3aa'): 5 mg, 17% yield; $R_f = 0.25$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.41 (m, 3H), 4.98 (m, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.99 (m, 1H), 2.83 (m, 1H), 2.73 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 1H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 165.2, 135.6, 129.3, 128.5, 126.5, 91.2, 59.6, 30.7, 29.7, 14.4; 19F NMR $(CDCl_3$, 282 MHz) $\delta = -73.1$; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3031, 2899, 1724, 1619, 1601, 1487, 1347, 1325, 1274, 1131, 1045, 1015, 959, 764; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{15}F_3O_3Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 323.0871, found 323.0875.

Data for (2E,4E)-ethyl 7,7,7-trifluoro-6-hydroxy-6-phenylhepta-2,4-dienoate (4aa): 7 mg, 24% yield; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.58−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.41−7.37 (m, 3H), 7.30 (dd, J = 15.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (dd, J = 15.2, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 5.96 $(d, J = 15.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 4.20 $(q, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 3.04 $(\text{br}, 1\text{H})$, 1.29 (t, J) $= 7.0$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) $\delta = 166.5$, 142.3, 137.5, 136.7, 130.8, 129.1, 128.6, 126.6, 126.1, 124.3, 60.6, 14.2; 19F NMR $(CDCl_3$, 282 MHz) $\delta = -76.3$; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3427, 2984, 1698, 1645, 1619, 1451, 1370, 1305, 1241, 1166, 1077, 1001, 941, 706; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{15}F_{3}O_{3}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 323.0871, found 323.0875. Data for the chiral product from the catalysis of phosphine 5i: yield 50%; enantiometric excess 26%; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD column, hexane/i-PrOH, 90/10, flow rate 0.70 mL/ min) $t_{\text{major}} = 19.7 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 12.3 \text{ min}, \lambda = 254 \text{ nm}.$

trans-(E)-Diethyl 2-(4-Methyl-5-phenyl-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3 ac). The diastereoisomers were separable by silica gel chromatography, which could be discriminated by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR data. The diagnostic feature is the chemical shift differences of the methyl group caused by the shielding effect of the adjacent phenyl group. Data for 3ac: 15 mg, 38% yield; $R_f = 0.35$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.45–7.43 (m, 5H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.65−3.49 (m, 3H), 3.13−3.04 (m, 1H), 2.86 (dd, J = 18.1, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (m, 6H), 0.86 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.2, 167.6, 132.4, 129.0, 128.4, 126.4, 126.3, 123.6, 99.2, 91.1 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 38.6, 36.7, 31.9, 17.3, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −76.6; IR (film, cm[−]¹) 2982, 2936, 1739, 1712, 1657, 1450, 1369, 1314, 1298, 1178, 1101, 1071, 1051, 934, 729; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_5Na$ $[M + Na]^+$ 423.1395, found 423.1390.

Data for cis-(E)-diethyl 2-(4-methyl-5-phenyl-5-(trifluoromethyl) dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3ac'): 12 mg, 31% yield; R_f = 0.33 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.42 (m, 3H), 4.20 (m, 4H), 3.60−3.46 (m, 3H), 3.07−2.98 (m, 1H), 2.93− 2.85 (m, 1H), 1.53 (dd, J = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR

 $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz)$ δ = 171.6, 168.8, 167.5, 136.5, 129.1, 128.5, 125.7, 99.0, 60.6, 60.2, 42.0, 39.2, 31.9, 15.4, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −73.4; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2983, 2937, 1739, 1712, 1657, 1369, 1312, 1168, 1111, 1052, 1029, 724, 699; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_{3}O_{5}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 423.1395, found 423.1390.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-5- (trifluoromethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3l): 28 mg, 64% yield; $R_f = 0.35$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.37 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (d, J_{AB} = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, J_{AB} = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 3.42–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.20– 3.11 (m, 1H), 2.87−2.80 (m, 1H), 2.49 (m, 4H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.6, 169.7, 167.4, 140.5, 131.9, 126.7, 126.1, 124.3 (q, $J_{C-F} = 285$ Hz), 99.2, 88.6 (q, J_{C-F} = 30.1 Hz), 60.6, 60.2, 32.0, 30.1, 29.7, 15.4, 14.3, 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.6; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2982, 2935, 1740, 1713, 1653, 1514, 1300, 1252, 1172, 1118, 1047, 898, 834, 808; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₃F₃O₅SNa [M + Na]⁺ 455.1116, found 455.1111.

Data for diethyl 2-(5-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)- 4,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)succinate (3l'): 12 mg, 28% yield; $R_f = 0.38$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $80/20$); dr = 1.18/1, the mixture of diastereoisomers was unseparable by column chromatography; viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.41–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.27−7.24 (m, 2H), 4.85 (m, 1H), 4.21−4.13 (m, 4H), 3.84−3.81 (m, 1H), 3.38 (dd, J = 16.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.09−2.98 (m, 2H), 2.71 (ddd, J $= 16.8, 9.3, 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 1.27–1.24 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz)$ $\delta = 171.1, 170.2, 139.8, 134.4, 126.8, 126.7, 126.1,$ 96.7, 96.5, 61.6, 61.5, 60.9, 41.0, 40.9, 39.5, 34.3, 34.1, 29.7, 15.5, 14.1, 14.0; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -81.9, -82.0; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2983, 2928, 1740, 1599, 1495, 1446, 1373, 1306, 1253, 1165, 1069, 1030, 863, 818, 736, 688; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_5SNa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 455.1116, found 455.1111.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-(5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-phenyldihydrofuran-2(3H)-ylidene)succinate (3ab): 20 mg, 51% yield; $R_f = 0.34$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 3.58 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.51 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.22 (m, 1H), 3.16 (m, 1H), 2.97 (m, 1H), 2.38 (dt, J = 12.6, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 1.26 (m, 6H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.9, 170.7, 170.2, 167.8, 138.6, 128.5, 128.4, 125.1, 98.3, 90.6, 62.1, 60.5, 60.0, 35.4, 32.0, 30.3, 14.4, 14.3, 13.9; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2981, 2932, 2854, 1736, 1650, 1449, 1368, 1304, 1259, 1176, 1094, 1058, 887, 860, 781; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{26}O_7Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 413.1576, found 413.1558. Data for the chiral product: enantiometric excess 48%; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H column, hexane/*i*-PrOH, 90/10, flow rate 0.60 mL/min) $t_{\text{major}} = 15.5$ min, t_{minor} $= 14.4$ min, $\lambda = 254$ nm.

Data for (E)-ethyl 5-(3-cyano-1-ethoxy-1-oxopropan-2-ylidene)- 2-phenyltetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylate (3ad): 26 mg, 75% yield; R_f = 0.34 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.42 (m, 3H), 4.25 $(m, 4H)$, 3.61 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.58 (d, $J_{AB} = 16.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.29 $(m, 1H)$, 3.16 $(m, 1H)$, 3.03 $(m, 1H)$, 2.47 $(dt, J = 12.5, 9.1 Hz, 1H)$, 1.35 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.9, 170.3, 166.3, 137.8, 128.8, 128.7, 125.1, 118.3, 94.6, 91.6, 62.4, 60.7, 35.1, 30.8, 29.7, 15.0, 14.4, 13.9; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 2998, 2936, 2812, 2230, 1741, 1622, 1519, 1327, 1291, 1252, 1186, 1095, 1027, 897, 856, 763; HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}O_5NNa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 366.1317, found 366.1313. Data for the chiral product: enantiometric excess 52%; HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD column and AD-H column in series, hexane/i-PrOH, 90/10, flow rate 0.50 mL/min) $t_{\text{major}} = 41.4 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 39.7 \text{ min}, \lambda = 254 \text{ nm}.$

General Procedure for the Tributylphosphine-Catalyzed Alkenylations. Conditions at rt. To a stirred solution of trifluoromethyl ketone 1 (0.1 mmol) and bis-substituted allenoate 2b (0.12 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was added tributylphosphine (0.015 mmol) via a microsyringe in one portion. Then the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at rt and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was directly purified by column

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10/1) as the eluent) to furnish the corresponding product.

Conditions at 60 °C. The solution of trifluoromethyl ketone 1 (0.1) mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was warmed to 60 °C before the bissubstituted allenoate 2b (0.12 mmol) and tributylphosphine (0.015 mmol) were added sequentially via a microsyringe in one portion. Then the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at 60 °C and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was allowed to cool to rt and directly purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10/1) as the eluent) to furnish the corresponding product.

Data for (E) -diethyl $2-(E)$ -5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-phenylpent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4a): mixture of $E, E/Z, E$ isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 7/1$; 35 mg, 90% yield; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.66 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.60 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.45−7.44 (m, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.43−7.40 (m, 3H; both isomers, ArH), 6.84 $(dd, J = 15.1, 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.66 (d, J = 15.0 Hz,$ 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.57 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 6.46 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 4.28–4.22 (m, 2H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 4.17−4.11 (m, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.36 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 3.33 (s, 1H; major, OH), 3.23 (s, 1H; minor, OH), 1.34−1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.26− 1.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.5, 166.9, 138.6, 138.4, 138.3, 136.9, 136.8, 129.0, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 127.4, 126.6, 61.2, 61.1, 32.7, 14.2, 14.1 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -78.8 (minor), -78.9 (major); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3441, 2985, 2940, 1714, 1644, 1614, 1450, 1371, 1162, 1028, 1004, 979, 769, 710; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₁F₃O₅Na $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 409.1239, found 409.1231.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-4-(4-bromophenyl)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4 hydroxypent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4b): mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 2/1$; 40 mg, 86% yield; $R_f =$ 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.63 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.54 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.47 (d, J $= 8.6$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.42 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.82 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.61 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.56 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.41 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), $4.28-4.22$ (m, $2H$; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), $4.17-$ 4.11 (m, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH2CO), 1.34−1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.26−1.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.9, 170.5, 166.8, 165.9, 140.2, 138.5, 137.8, 136.7, 135.9, 131.6, 131.5, 129.8, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 127.6, 127.1, 125.9, 123.4, 123.2, 61.3, 61.2, 61.1, 40.2, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.6 (major), −79.7 (minor); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3425 (br), 2985, 2936, 1713, 1645, 1466, 1371, 1095, 1029, 978, 901, 853, 714; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₀BrF₃O₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 487.0344, found 487.0339.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-4 hydroxypent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4c): mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 2.3/1$; 36 mg, 88% yield; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.63 (dd, J = 15.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.58 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.43 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.09 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.82 (dd, $J = 15.1, 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.63 (d, $J = 15.1$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.57 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 6.43 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 4.28-4.23 (m, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.16−4.11 (m, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.69 (br, 1H; major, OH), 3.53 (br, 1H; minor, OH), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.36 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 1.34−1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.26− 1.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.9, 170.6, 166.8, 165.9, 164.2, 161.8, 140.4, 138.5,

138.1, 137.1, 132.7, 129.6, 128.8, 128.7, 127.6, 127.4, 126.9, 115.5, 115.4, 115.3, 115.2, 61.3, 61.2, 61.1, 60.0, 40.2, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −79.0 (CF₃, minor), −79.1 (CF3, major), −113.2 (ArF, major), −113.6 (ArF, minor); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3440 (br), 2985, 2936, 1714, 1645, 1604, 1466, 1447, 1371, 1240, 1179, 1096, 1029, 839, 731; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}F_{4}O_{5}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 427.1145, found 427.1141.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4 hydroxypent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate $(4d)$: mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 2.2/1$; 33 mg, 80% yield; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.63 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, $CH=CHCH$), 7.54 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.42 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.38 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.82 (dd, $J = 15.1, 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.62 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.56 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 6.42 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 4.28–4.23 (m, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.16−4.11 (m, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH2CO), 1.34−1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.26−1.22 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.9, 170.6, 166.8, 165.9, 140.3, 138.5, 137.8, 136.8, 135.5, 135.4, 135.2, 135.0, 129.7, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.0, 126.0, 123.1, 61.3, 61.2, 61.1, 40.2, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0, 13.9; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282) MHz) δ = −79.0 (minor), −79.1 (major); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3437 (br), 2984, 2937, 1713, 1645, 1498, 1371, 1241, 1162, 1097, 1029, 979, 932, 830, 732; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₀ClF₃O₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 443.0849, found 443.0843.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(4 methoxyphenyl)pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4e): mixture of $E, E/Z, E$ isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 6/1$; 37 mg, 88% yield; $R_f = 0.28$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.64 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.51 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.44 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.84 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, $CH=CHCH$), 6.64 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.57 $(d, J = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H; \text{ minor}, CH=CHCH), 6.44 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H;$ minor, CH=CHCH), 4.26 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.14 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.84 (s, 3H; major, OCH₃), 3.47 (s, 2H; major, CH2CO), 3.36 (s, 2H; minor, CH2CO), 3.33 (br, 1H; major, OH), 1.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.25 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.5, 166.9, 160.0, 138.7, 138.5, 128.9, 128.0, 127.2, 127.1, 113.9, 113.8, 61.2, 61.1, 55.3, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.1 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = -78.9 (minor), -79.0 (major); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3443 (br), 2983, 2937, 2842, 1714, 1644, 1611, 1514, 1465, 1371, 1254, 1160, 1031, 1000, 979, 834, 736; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_{3}O_{6}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 439.1344, found 439.1341.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(3 methoxyphenyl)pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4f): mixture of E,E/ Z,E isomers (major and minor), E , E / Z , E = 6/1; 39 mg, 93% yield; R_f = 0.28 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.64 (dd, J = 15.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H; minor, $CH=CHCH$), 7.42 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.33 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 7.17 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.93 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 2.3 Hz, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 6.84 (dd, $J = 15.3$, 11.6 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.63 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH= CHCH), 6.56 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.43 (d, $J =$ 15.6 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 4.25 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.13 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH_2CH_3), 3.84 (s, 3H; major, OCH_3), 3.59 (br, 1H; major, OH), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.24 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.6, 166.9, 159.7, 138.7, 138.4, 138.3, 129.5, 127.3, 127.2, 118.9, 114.2, 112.7, 61.2, 61.1, 55.3, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); 19 F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.6 (minor), −78.7 (major); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3444 (br), 2984, 2939, 2839, 1730, 1713, 1644, 1604, 1491, 1466, 1370, 1241, 1085, 1031, 979, 853, 785, 721; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}F_{2}O_6Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 439.1344, found 439.1339.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4 hydroxypent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate $(4g)$: mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 6.5/1$; 35 mg, 83% yield; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.64 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.1 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.33 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.43 (d, J $= 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.91 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.84 (dd, J = 15.3, 11.6 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.63 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.58 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.43 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 4.25 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.15 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 4.06 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArOCH₂CH₃), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH_2CO), 1.44 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H; both isomers, $\mathrm{ArOCH_2CH_3}),$ 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.24 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.6, 166.9, 159.4, 138.8, 138.6, 137.6, 128.7, 128.0, 127.2, 127.1, 126.2, 123.4, 114.4, 63.5, 61.2, 61.1, 32.7, 29.7, 14.7, 14.2, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); 19 F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.9 (minor), −79.0 (major); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3449, 2983, 2936, 1731, 1716, 1643, 1611, 1512, 1393, 1297, 1242, 1154, 1117, 1031, 1000, 924, 837; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}F_{3}O_{6}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 453.1501, found 453.1496.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-4-(4-ethylphenyl)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4 hydroxypent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4h): mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 6.5/1$; 36 mg, 88% yield; R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ${}^1\text{\r{H}}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.64 (dd, J = 15.5, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.50 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.44 (d, J $= 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.25 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.85 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.5 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.65 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.57 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.45 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 4.25 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.14 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.47 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.44 (br, 1H; major, OH), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 3.31 (br, 1H; minor, OH), 2.68 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H; both isomers, $ArCH₂CH₃$), 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.28−1.23 (m, 6H; both isomers, and OCH_2CH_3 and $ArCH_2CH_3$); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.5, 166.9, 145.2, 138.8, 138.6, 134.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.1, 126.6, 124.8 (q, J_{C-F} = 286 Hz), 61.2, 61.1, 61.0, 60.9, 40.3, 32.7, 29.7, 28.4, 15.3, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.7 (minor), −78.8 (major); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3451, 2970, 2953, 2875, 1730, 1713, 1643, 1514, 1464, 1371, 1163, 1030, 1001, 933, 834, 777; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}F_3O_5Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 437.1552, found 437.1548.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(p-tolyl) pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate $(4i)$: mixture of $E, E/Z, E$ isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 7/1$; 34 mg, 84% yield; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.64 (dd, J = 15.6, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, $CH=CHCH$), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.43 (d, J $= 11.3$ Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.23 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.84 (dd, J = 15.1, 11.6 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.64 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.57 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.44 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 1H; minor, CH= CHCH), 4.25 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 4.15 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 3.27 (s, 1H; major, OH), 3.17 (s, 1H; minor, OH), 2.39 (s, 3H; both isomers, ArCH₃), 1.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.25 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 170.5,

166.9, 139.0, 138.7, 138.5, 133.9, 129.2, 129.1, 127.2, 126.5, 124.7 (q, J_{C-F} = 286 Hz), 61.2, 61.1, 40.3, 32.7, 29.7, 21.1, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.8 (minor), −78.9 (major); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3444 (br), 2984, 2930, 1730, 1644, 1613, 1514, 1447, 1371, 1158, 1029, 978, 932, 818; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₃F₃O₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 423.1395, found 423.1392.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(thiophene-2-yl)pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4j): mixture of E,E/Z,E isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 3/1$; 31 mg, 80% yield; $R_f =$ 0.28 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.70 (dd, J = 15.5, 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, $CH=CHCH$), 7.44 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.38 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 7.21 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 7.06 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 6.92 (dd, J = 14.8, 11.6 Hz, 1H; major, $CH=CHCH$), 6.58 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.55 $(d, J = 14.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H; \text{ major}, CH=CHCH), 6.37 (d, J = 15.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H;$ minor, CH=CHCH), 4.25 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.15 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH_2CH_3), 3.49 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.36 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 1.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.25 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.0, 170.6, 166.9, 165.9, 140.5, 140.3, 140.1, 138.4, 136.9, 136.0, 129.6, 127.6, 127.2, 127.1, 126.9, 126.7, 126.6, 126.5, 126.6, 61.2, 61.1, 61.0, 40.3, 32.8, 29.7, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); 19F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.9 (minor), −79.0 (major); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3434, 2983, 2935, 1714, 1645, 1489, 1371, 1240, 1162, 1077, 1028, 1010, 932, 823; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}F_3O_5NaS [M + Na]⁺ 415.0803, found 415.0803.$

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate $(4k)$: mixture of $E, E/Z, E$ isomers (major and minor), $E,E/Z,E = 3/1$; 31 mg, 70% yield; $R_f =$ 0.28 (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.49–8.47 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 7.92−7.87 (m, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.82−7.80 (m, 1H; both isomers, ArH), 7.51−7.47 (m, 3H; both isomers, ArH), 7.40 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.73 (dd, $J = 15.6$, 10.8 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.68 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.55 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.50 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 4.22 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H; major, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.14 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H; minor, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$ and OCH₂CH₃), 4.05 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H; major, OCH₂CH₃), 3.35 (s, 2H; major, CH₂CO), 3.31 (s, 2H; minor, CH₂CO), 1.30 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H; major, OCH₂CH₃), 1.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; minor, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100 MHz)$ δ = 170.9, 170.3, 166.9, 166.0, 140.1, 139.2, 138.7, 137.9, 134.9, 132.4, 132.1, 131.1, 130.8, 130.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 127.3, 127.1, 126.1, 126.0, 125.8, 125.7, 124.5, 61.2, 61.0, 60.9, 40.2, 32.7, 29.7, 14.2, 14.0, 13.8 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −75.6 (minor), −75.8 (major); IR (film, cm[−]¹) 3431 (br), 2983, 2934, 1716, 1643, 1465, 1370, 1266, 1088, 1055, 1029, 978, 802; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}F_{3}O_{5}Na$ [M + Na]⁺ 459.1395, found 459.1395.

Data for (E)-diethyl 2-((E)-5,5,5-trifluoro-4-hydroxy-4-(4- (methylthio)phenyl)pent-2-en-1-ylidene)succinate (4l): mixture of $E, E/Z, E$ isomers (major and minor), $E, E/Z, E = 6/1$; 34 mg, 82% yield; $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20); viscous, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.63 (dd, J = 15.5, 11.1 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 7.50 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 7.43 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 7.27 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H; both isomers, ArH), 6.83 (dd, $J = 15.0$, 11.3 Hz, 1H; major, CH= CHCH), 6.62 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H; major, CH=CHCH), 6.56 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H; minor, CH=CHCH), 6.42 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 1H; minor, $CH=CHCH$), 4.25 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H; both isomers, $CH_2CO_2CH_2CH_3$), 4.13 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H; both isomers, OCH2CH3), 3.57 (br, 1H; major, OH), 3.46 (s, 2H; major, CH_2CO), 3.35 (s, 2H; minor, CH_2CO), 2.51 (s, 3H; major, ArSCH₃), 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; both isomers, CH₂CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.24 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; both isomers, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ = 171.0, 170.6, 166.9, 166.0, 140.5, 140.1, 139.7, 138.7, 137.2, 133.4, 129.4, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 127.0, 126.1, 123.3, 61.2, 61.1, 61.0, 32.7, 29.7, 15.4, 14.7, 14.2, 14.0 (additional peaks and line broadenings are observed due to diastereoisomers); 19 F NMR (CDCl₃, 282 MHz) δ = −78.8 (minor), −78.9 (major); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3438, 2983, 2927, 1739, 1713, 1644, 1598, 1495, 1466, 1370, 1240, 1095, 979, 931, 819; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_3O_5NaS$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 455.1116, found 455.1111.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Tables giving information on the screening of phosphine catalysts for the reaction of trifluoromethyl ketone and catalyst screening for asymmetric cycloaddition reaction and condition surveys for cycloaddition and dienylation reactions, ¹H NMR and 13C NMR spectra for 3a−3l, 3aa, 3aa′, 3ac, 3ac′, 3l′, 3ab, 3ad, 4aa, and 4a−4l, and chiral HPLC spectra of 3a, 3ab, 3ad, and 4aa. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INFOR](http://pubs.acs.org)MATION

Corresponding Authors

*Fax: (+86) 21-64166128. E-mail: xiaohua@mail.sioc.ac.cn. *Fax: (+86) 21-64166128. E-mail: zhaog@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing [fi](mailto:xiaohua@mail.sioc.ac.cn)[nancial interest.](mailto:zhaog@mail.sioc.ac.cn)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, Grant 2010CB833200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 21032006 and 21272247), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant 11XD1406400), the Excellent Young Scholars Foundation of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 20525208), and the supporting fund for young researchers of the Hefei University of Technology (to H.X.).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Corbett, J. W.; Ko, S.; Rodgers, S. J. M.; Gearhart, L. A.; Magnus, N. A.; Bacheler, L. T.; Diamond, S.; Jeffrey, S.; Klabe, R. M.; Cordova, B. C.; Garber, S.; Logue, K.; Trainor, G. L.; Anderson, P. S.; Erickson-Viitanen, S. K. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2019. (b) Magueur, G.; Crousse, B.; Charneau, S.; Grellier, P.; Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 2694. (c) Schacke, H.; Schottelius, ̈ A.; Döcke, W.-D.; Strehlke, P.; Jaroch, S.; Schmees, N.; Rehwinkel, H.; Hennekes, H.; Asadullah, K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 227.

(2) (a) Shen, X.; Zhang, W.; Ni, C.; Gu, Y.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16999. (b) Sun, J.; Shi, D.; Ma, M.; Li, S.; Wang, S.; Han, L.; Yang, Y.; Fan, X.; Shi, J.; He, L. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 915.

(3) (a) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 455. (b) Yearick, K.; Wolf, C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3915. (c) Motoki, R.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2997. (d) Blay, G.; Fernández, I.; Monleón, A.; Pedro, J. R.; Vila, C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2997. (e) Martina, S. L. X.; Jagt, R. B. C.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L.; Minnaard, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2006, 4093.

(4) For reviews on phosphine-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Lu, X.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 535. (b) Methot, J. L.; Roush, W. R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1035. (c) Ye, L.-W.; Zhou, J.; Tang, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1140. (d) Cowen, B. J.; Miller, S. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3102. (e) Marinetti, A.; Voituriez, A.

Synlett 2010, 174. (f) Zhao, Q.-Y.; Lian, Z.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1724.

(5) For the latest examples, see: (a) Meng, W.; Zhao, H.-T.; Nie, J.; Zheng, Y.; Fu, A.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3053. (b) Zhao, L.; Wen, M.; Wang, Z.-X. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 3587. (c) Zhang, X.-N.; Shi, M. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 507. (d) Deng, J.-C.; Chan, F.-W.; Kuo, C.-W.; Cheng, C.-A.; Huang, C.-Y.; Chuang, S.-C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 5738. (e) Tian, J.; He, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2058. (f) Duvvuru, D.; Retailleau, P.; Betzer, J.-F.; Marinetti, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 897. (g) Gomez, C.; Gicquel, M.; Carry, J.-C.; Schio, L.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Marinetti, A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1488. (6) (a) Zhang, C.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2906. (b) Xu, Z.;

Lu, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3461.

(7) For catalytic reaction of aldehyde with allenic esters, see: (a) Zhu, X.-F.; Schaffner, A.-P.; Li, R. C.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2977. (b) Creech, G. S.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 429. (c) Selig, P.; Turočkin, A.; Raven, W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 351, 1685. (d) Zhu, X.-F.; Henry, C. E.; Wang, J.; Dudding, T.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1387.

(8) For phosphine-mediated reaction of aldehyde with allenic esters, see: (a) Xu, S.; Chen, R.; He, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7528. (b) Xu, S.; Zou, W.; Wu, G.; Song, H.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3556. (c) Ma, R.; Xu, S.; Tang, X.; Wu, G.; He, Z. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 1053. (d) Xu, S.; Zhou, L.; Zeng, S.; Ma, R.; Wang, Z.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3498. (e) Xu, S.; Zhou, L.; Ma, R.; Song, H.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 544. (f) Zhou, R.; Wang, C.; Song, H.; He, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 976. (g) Jacobsen, M. J.; Funder, E. D.; Cramer, J. R.; Gothelf, K. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3418. (h) Deng, J.-C.; Chuang, S.-C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2248.

(9) (a) Wang, T.; Ye, S. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4168. (b) Wang, T.; Ye, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 5260. (c) Saunders, L. B.; Miller, S. J. ACS Catal. 2011, 1, 1347. (d) Wang, T.; Shen, L.-T.; Ye, S. Synthesis 2011, 3359.

(10) (a) Xu, S.; Zhou, L.; Ma, R.; Song, H.; He, Z. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8698. (b) Meng, X.; Huang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Xie, P.; Ma, J.; Chen, R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 991. (c) Jose, A.; Lakshmi, K. C. S.; Suresh, E.; Nair, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1858. (d) Li, E.; Huang, Y.; Liang, L.; Xie, P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3138.

(11) For related reactions of activated ketone, see: (a) Li, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, C.; Jia, X. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7409. (b) Basavaiah, D.; Badsara, S. S.; Sahu, B. C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 2961. (c) Lian, Z.; Shi, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 8048. (d) Yang, L.; Xie, P.; Li, E.; Li, X.; Huang, Y.; Chen, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7628. (e) Liu, X.-G.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1977. (f) Wei, Y.; Liu, X.-G.; Shi, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2386.

(12) For annulation of allenoates and ketimines, see: (a) Chen, X.-Y.; Lin, R.-C.; Ye, S. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1317. (b) Chen, X.-Y.; Ye, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 5723.

(13) For related studies, see: (a) Tran, Y. S.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12632. (b) Li, E.; Xie, P.; Yang, L.; Liang, L.; Huang, Y. Chem.- Asian J. 2013, 8, 603. (c) Evans, C. A.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12394. (d) Xie, P.; Li, E.; Zheng, J.; Li, X.; Huang, Y.; Chen, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 161.

(14) Delcamp, J. H.; Gormisky, Pa. E.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8460.

(15) Wang, S. R.; Zhu, C.-Y.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4192.

(16) For N-containing chiral catalysts for reactions of allenes with carbonyl compounds, see: (a) Pei, C.-K.; Shi, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6712. (b) Pei, C.-K.; Jiang, Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11328. For recent examples of asymmetric phosphinecatalyzed reaction, see: (c) Chen, S.; Salo, E. C.; Wheeler, K. A.; Kerrigan, N. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1784. (d) Lundgren, R. J.; Wilsily, A.; Marion, N.; Ma, C.; Chung, Y. K.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2525. (e) Takizawa, S.; Nguyen, T. M.-N.; Grossmann, A.; Enders, D.; Sasai, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5423. (f) Zhang, X.; Deng, H.-P.; Huang, L.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8664. (g) Shi, Z.; Yu, P.; Loh, T.-P.; Zhong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7825.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the Second Second

(17) (a) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Zheng, C.-W.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Liu, W.; Zhang, J.-K.; Zhao, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4467. (b) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Wang, H.-F.; Wang, X.-W.; Cao, D.-D.; Liu, W.; Lu, Y.-P.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Zhao, G. Chem.-Eur. J 2011, 17, 10562. (c) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Cao, D.; Wang, H.; Chen, J.; Zhao, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3195.

(18) (a) Zhu, X.-F.; Henry, C. E.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6722. (b) Khong, S. N.; Tran, Y. S.; Kwon, O. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 4760. (c) Liang, Y.; Liu, S.; Xia, Y.; Li, Y.; Yu, Z.-X. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 4361. (d) Vedejs, E.; Steck, P. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2788. We thank one of our reviewers for proposing this rationale.

(19) (a) Zhu, X.-F.; Lan, J.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4716. (b) Dishart, K. T.; Levine, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 2268. (c) Simons, J. H.; Black, W. T.; Clark, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 5621.